



Supporting the Rehabilitation and Reintegration of Violent Extremists

The Rehabilitation and the Reintegration of individual or group of individuals for whatever motif is only possible if communities are consulted, concerted, listened and if they are favorable with the process and if they welcome it. The detonator of this process remain an aware and motivated Civil Societies actors and community level representative with the support of engaged government and donors that are willing for stability and sustainable peace process. Government and Donors have to trust in the competencies and the powers of the community and value the key role of Civil Society in the process.

There is a say that said: It is only the proprietor that knows from where his house is leaking and why. So he is the only one who knows what to do, at what period even though he will need support from outside.

CSOs and communities should return on the solid foundation “COMMUNITY VALUES” to rebuild or refocusing mistakes and transformation. To trait the corrosion that attack the foundation that opened spaces that weaken the harmony of the community and destroy the legendary space of dialogue and conflict resolution. As far as the solid foundation still, there will still great hope that things can be fixed. Bout Actors (CSOs, Communities, Government and donors) should necessarily stop the game of ingenious and ingenious and half if we need see good change in the process. And unfortunately there is a kind of meli-melo in the process that is not in favor of coordinate activities and synergy. Also, actors should stop seeing conflict zone like gold mining site.

What are innovative ways CSOs and communities, are contributing to R&R, particularly in relation to engaging youth and women who are increasingly targeted for recruitment?	
Comments	Ways
Innovation ways may sound new for CSOs and Communities even though it is the right word. In other words I will said : What are the ways to return the fundamentals values of the communities and what are ways of refocusing mistakes and bad behaviors changes or transformations in order to contribute to R&R, particularly in relation to engaging youth and women.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- CSOs and Communities should encourage the fundamental value of the community: balance, justice between what they received, and what they share with others. 2- CSOs and communities should adopt attitude of control of community member movement, relationship and interactions control. 3- Communities and CSOs should review the structure of the community organization including the role and responsibility of chief of canton, chief of village, chief of neighborhood and chief of house. 4- COSs and communities should according to the culture and tradition of the community accept to perform traditional rituals for peace process. 5- CSOs and Communities should realize according to the tradition and the culture of



	<p>the concerned community that Youth and women are under the control of the community and CSOs necessary for the regulation. That means that they must be: protected, educated, trusted, listened and consulted in actions that request their contribution. Have them on the table.</p> <p>6- CSOs and communities should focus on listening to Youth and women concerns</p> <p>7- CSOs and communities shouldn't watch and wait until everything collapse. They should not be passive and being perpetual assisted by donors or government rather they should be active in the revalorization of their potentials and values.</p> <p><i>The fact of being perpetual assisted create some form of marginalization because of conflict of interest, lack of balance, lack of leadership and lack of justice. And open ways to recruitment and radicalization.</i></p>
<p>What are the obstacles to designing and implementing R&R programs that include CSOs and other community-level participations? How can they best be overcome?</p>	
<p>Comments</p>	<p>Ways</p>
<p>Must of the time the principals obstacles to designing and implementing R&R programs that include CSOs and other community level participations comes from :</p> <p>a) Lack of leadership at both CSOs and Community level.</p> <p>b) Lack of competences in the team of CSOs and community level representative.</p> <p>c) Lack of vision in designing and implementing R&R program.</p> <p>d) Problem of rivalry and lack of complementarity and most important the willingness for personal interest than the interest of the communities.</p>	<p>Reparation is always hard and the nature of Human being always challenge change and transformation of bad attitude and behaviors. It is possible with willingness, motivation and determination and self-overcome.</p> <p>a) CSOs and Community should recognize their lack of competence in leadership and admit to collaborate with other leaders.</p> <p>b) Donors should give means to CSOs to recruit competent staff and invest in their capacity building in PVE approach program designing and implementation. CSOs should assist community to establish and train a strong community coalition of PVE issue.</p> <p>c) CSOs and community level actors should design and implement project with long term effect. Donors should encourage CSOs to form for long term result rather than the immediate result.</p> <p>d) In Dispersed rank nothing good could be done. CSOs and community level actor should develop an altruistic attitude. They should think together, plan together, act together, evaluate and correct together. And</p>



<p>e) Behaviors and disparate attitude of donors in founding activities.</p> <p>f) Problems of consulting communities and youth and women and lack of communication.</p> <p>g) Designing project and activities out of reach of common community members.</p> <p>h) Problem in selection beneficiary and miss understanding of criteria of vulnerability.</p> <p>i) Lack landmark in reparation and balance between victims and oppressor</p> <p>j) High level of expectations to the community and nothing at the end. Sometime activities are not relevant, are not sustainable, or not replicable without the assistance of donors.</p> <p>k) Problem of M&E and problem of distinction between development, urgency and PVE approach program designing.</p>	<p>work in the sense of the peace promotion</p> <p>e) Donors should coordinate and make harmony in the determination of certain cost. And not being too much selective in the activities they fund to avoid some kind of hijack of the fundamental interest of the community.</p> <p>f) CSOs and community should not work alone on they side on the concern of the community and take it to the donors for funding without consulting the communities.</p> <p>g) Donors should encourage CSOs and Community level actor to tailor project at the capacities of the community and accessible to them rather than accepting project because they should respect a burn rate.</p> <p>h) CSOs and community level should be objective as well as donors on who is potential vulnerable, and be honest in designing vulnerability criteria. And the criteria should be communicated to the community and valid it with them.</p> <p>i) CSOs and Community level actors should work with the government representative to determine an objective and reasonable landmark of reparation or compensation for all. They should play attention to avoid frustration and marginalization of some individuals or groups.</p> <p>j) CSOs and community level actors should be realistic, reasonable and objective when it comes to talk about PVE support activities and donors should not accept to pay the maximum price for an activity that will not last.</p> <p>k) In term of PVE approach CSOs and community level should give great importance to M&E at their own level rather than just acting to inform data to donors, which sometimes are not relevant to</p>
---	--



<p>l) Lack of transparency, honesty, fidelity and the feeding the attitude of deny in certain reality of the communities behaviors to the government and donors. “communicate to them what they want to know not what they need to know”</p> <p>m) Lack of interest to land work or any physical activity by youth and easiness culture in the mindset of youth and women’s.</p> <p><i>Lack of a framework to calm the spirits and go towards a good collaboration. Fighting against the fact that a group desire one thing and its neighbor another thing without considering the possibility of going to a compromise to the benefit of all.</i></p>	<p>community effort measure. Because community are not associated in the determination of indicator and how to measure them.</p> <p>l) CSOs and community level actor should help donors to help them face the problem they identified, or expecting to rise by giving the full information at the right time and place. And most important contribute actively in the process of solution.</p> <p>m) Promote motivate group of youth and women’s and assist them to be successful in agriculture and connected activities.</p>
---	---

What support do communities need to maximize their ability to facilitate the reintegration of VEOs and FTFs?

Comments	Ways
<p>Mother never abandons her child, she can be fed up. She can under the effect of anger deny him. But in her inner she always weeps and seeks the means of reconciliation with him. It is the same with the community.</p>	<p>In this case the support are as follow in form of :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Respect 2) Recognition of the prejudice 3) Listing victims and their relatives 4) Understand their grief 5) Ask for forgiveness (if conditions are favorable) 6) Allowing process of living together 7) Dialogue 8) Acceptance 9) Forgiveness 10) Income generation activity that fit exact demand of the beneficiaries and make sure that they are motivated in the activity. 11) Create short time wining objective that could encourage the adhesion to the process of R&R 12) Reinforce the capacity of communities in Monitoring of the income generating activity. 13) Invest in innovating and valorize agriculture and affiliated activities.



	14) Create condition to inverse the role and act to give more power to community.
<p>What are some examples of effective collaboration between CSOs and government, including law enforcement, in R&R efforts? What are the obstacles to more meaningful and sustainable collaboration and how can they be overcome?</p>	
Comments	Ways
<p>The effective collaboration between CSOs and government, including law enforcement and municipalities, in R&R efforts should be based on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Fluid, fear and just collaboration between actors. - Combine forces, energy, and potential and unified actions. - COSs should know their mission, responsibility and role in the community affairs rather than taken government as rival - Government, including law enforcement and municipalities should facilitate the activities of CSOs and fund them. And should protect CSOs in conflict zone. - CSOs and government, including law enforcement and municipalities should establish an effective platform of productive exchange and work hand in hand. - 	<p>The major obstacles to more meaningful and sustainable collaboration came from :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Lack of communication - Disengagement of government, law enforcement and municipality authorities - Problem of teacher-ability - Lack of patriotism - Lack of learn from mistakes - Problem of complacency - Difficulties in developing community action plan. - Problem of monitoring and sustainability of acting together. <p>To overcome this obstacles</p> <p>Both CSOs, government, municipalities, including should accept to pay the price of change in their attitude, behaviors and management and leadership.</p> <p>They should :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Design and maintain simple and easy manageable network, or platform regarding the issue of VE. - Design a simple communication plan - Donors should invest in capacity building of those actors in communication, communication, leadership, including designing and implementing activities at their level with less resource. - Donors should invest to restore the power of government and state in issue of PVE. - Government should the chain of responsibility and avoid complacency in recruitment of staff.
<p>What are key recommendations for the United Nations, governments and civil society?</p>	
<p>United Nations should :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Avoid injecting money in activities that create more frustration and marginalization. - Decide clear position in funding. They are not clear in using resource for ex combatant. 	<p>Government</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Control, coordinating and monitoring the interventions of actors on populations in crisis zones - Organize a general and complete



<p>That makes CSOs, government and municipalities in bad situation. They engage then with the beneficiaries, create expectations and donors declines.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Reconsider the role of government and invest to restore the public power.	<p>disarmament in conflict zones and ensure respect for justice at all levels in reparations process for both victims and repents</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Seek and get in touch with potentials leaders of the extremist groups in conflict areas and attempt mediations.- In case of demobilization and reintegration, Pay attention to the criteria of choice of beneficiaries, be just in the choice and avoid frustration and marginalization.- organize forums of confession and forgiveness in conflict zones and take the means to secure the witnesses- Establish norms and grids for the reparation or compensation of victims (victim of rape, economic victim, death or disappearance of a loved one) and make it known to all- Facilitate the application of traditional means of conflict resolution where necessary.- Government should restore and reinforce the power of chief of canton and chief of tribe <p>Civil Society Organization, Communities</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Respect the axes defined by the Government and work closely in the implementation of Community actions. Taking into account the needs and choices of beneficiaries and the sustainability of actions.- Control the dating of children and young people (with whom they are friends, how they do it) and to teach and constantly educate young people and children about community values. Accept and promote basic education for peace and citizenship.- Cooperate with traditional leaders, religious leaders, SDFs in the process of peace and social cohesion. Being fair, sincere and objection in requesting repairs
---	--



What Should CSOs, Community, government and donors consider in Rehabilitation and Reintegration approach:

Moment: Choice of moment for the implementing of R&R is very fundamental and determinant. CSOs, Community level, government and donors should be attentive to the level of endurance of the community regarding the issue. There is a moment where community are tired of being in the situation even some time the oppressors are weak too and naturally, without any control they start process of acceptancy. The tiredness at some moment is in every single dialogue. Tentative communications are initiated by some leaders. The willingness of restarting economic exchange becomes present. With these indicators the time is favorable to engage the process in progress with atmosphere of the targeted community.

Environment analysis: CSOs and communities level representative who are in permanent interaction with the rest of the community, should make positive critical investigations. That investigation should cover at less 4 years that precede act of radicalization recruitment and alliances with extremist groups. They you invest in ... (what was reason that create the condition of frustration or source of marginalization that drive youth to radicalization, VE groups; what measure they should be taken to repair (lack of occupation, sources of frustration and marginalization); what actions should be taken including the point of view of the ex-combatants to prevent the environmental choc.

Positive listing process. : In R&R approach the listing is very complicate because you have to combat your emotions. You do not have to fall in the attraction of supporting the sentiment of the person. Just listen to him take note. In that process it is necessary to consider that the problem is personal and individual. Create different categories and calcified them according to their motivation or why some youth and women joint extremist groups (conviction, forced to join and being brainwashed – follow a friend, follow examples, follow model, jealousy, ego and because it is a mode)

Designing plan of project: the important thing to do is to make sure that the project cover the expectation of the targeted community and solve the concern of the entire environment indirectly, including root cause, local conditions. If you make good listing process you will be capable to reach the person that could at some moment try to sap your efforts. And thing about the category of people. Have in mind that some don't need immediate support their first concern may be the peace. The project should include the fact that the beneficiary of R&R some will deal with the emotional choc of their past. It can be positive or negative.

What to do next: the project to support the R&R must be objective, on the long term, just and fear. And always see what action will be the next. To permit the sustainability of projects.

What to avoid: develop the wrong project. It could not led to something good and discourage candidate to join the process.

Recommendations: CSOs and Communities level should be realistic in the determination of recommendation they should avoid recommendation that will never be achieved and create wrong hope and expectation to communities members.

R&R is a groundwork for complex building you will be always in retouch and if you don't do someone /or generation will retouch it over the time. Make sure that the foundation is solid and ready to support retouches.

There is no place for status –quo in PVE approach, together for change and innovations and creativities is finding solutions.

Thanks

HAROUNA Abdoulaye